## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_

RAYMOND Q.,

Plaintiff,

v.

5:18-CV-544 (FJS/CFH)

## COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_

**APPEARANCES** 

**OF COUNSEL** 

OFFICE OF JOHN L. BARDSLEY

HSBC Bank Building, Second Floor 36 Main Street P.O. Box 166 Cortland, New York 13045 Attorneys for Plaintiff

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OFFICE OF REGIONAL GENERAL COUNSEL, REGION II

26 Federal Plaza, Room 3904 New York, New York 10278 Attorneys for Defendant

SCULLIN, Senior Judge

FERGUS J. KAISER, ESQ.

JOHN L. BARDSLEY, ESQ.

## **ORDER**

Plaintiff brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking a review of Defendant's decision denying his application for disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income ("SSI") benefits. *See* Dkt. No. 1, Complaint. Plaintiff moved to remand for a further hearing, and Defendant cross-moved for a judgment on the pleadings. *See* Dkt. Nos. 11, 12.

After reviewing the record and the parties' arguments, Magistrate Judge Hummel issued a Report-Recommendation and Order, dated August 7, 2019, in which he recommended that this Court

deny Plaintiff's motion and grant Defendant's motion. See Dkt. No. 13 at 20. The parties did not file

any objections to these recommendations.

When a party does not object to a magistrate judge's report-recommendation, the court

reviews that report-recommendation for clear error or manifest injustice. See Linares v. Mahunik,

No. 9:05-CV-625, 2009 WL 3165660, \*10 (N.D.N.Y. July 16, 2009) (citation and footnote omitted).

After conducting this review, "the Court may 'accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the . . .

recommendation made by the magistrate judge." Id. (quoting 28 U.S.C.

§

636(b)(1)(C)).

The Court has reviewed Magistrate Judge Hummel's August 7, 2019 Report-Recommendation

and Order for clear error and manifest injustice; and, finding none, the Court hereby

**ORDERS** that Magistrate Judge Hummel's August 7, 2019 Report-Recommendation and

Order is **ACCEPTED** in its entirety for the reasons stated therein; and the Court further

**ORDERS** that Plaintiff's motion to remand, see Dkt. No. 11, is **DENIED**; and the Court

further

**ORDERS** that Defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings, see Dkt. No. 12, is

**GRANTED**; and the Court further

**ORDERS** that the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment in favor of Defendant and close

this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 30, 2019

Syracuse, New York

Frederick J. Scullin, Jr.

Senior United States District Judge

uller

-2-